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## Tehran

- Population: about 14 millions people
- The second- largest metropolitan area in the Middle east. AWARDS
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- To increase Traffic capacity from east to west in North of Tehran (Due to locating number of main north to east routes in this area)


Arash-Esfandiar-Niayesh Tunnel
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ITA TUNNELLING
AWARDS 2018

## Project specifications:

- Tunnel length: 1451m
- Ramp length: 1068m
- Overburden: 3 to $\mathbf{1 4 m}$
- Excavation width: 6.90 to 20 m



## ITA TUNNELLING

AWARDS 2018

## Project specifications:

- Multiple cross sections
- One Bifurcation (1.5 Lane+2 Lane)
- One Electrical substation tunnel
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- Geological long section

Mixture of cemented gravel and sand with clay and silt

## LEGEND:
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- Multiple Cross sections:

1- Modares Tunnel (Two-story tunnel) Height: 13m
Width: 12.2 m
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- Multiple Cross sections:

2- Two traffic lane Tunnel Height: 8.7 m
Width: 11m
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- Multiple Cross sections:

3-2.5 traffic lane Tunnel Height: 11.9m
Width: 14.4 m
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- Multiple Cross sections:

4-1.5 traffic lane Tunnel Height: 5.5m
Width: 6.9m
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- Multiple Cross sections:

5- Three traffic lane Tunnel Height: 11.3m
Width: 18m


- Multiple Cross sections:

6- Valiasr Tunnel (Twin tunnel) Height: 8m
Width: 18.3m
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- Multiple Cross sections:

7- Enlarged 3.5 traffic lane Tunnel Height: 11.6 m
Width: 20m

M.Sadeghi + A.Golshani

## ITA TUNNELLING

AWARDS 2018

## Modares Tunnel:

- Two-story tunnel with the length of $52.3 \mathrm{~m}, 12.8 \mathrm{~m}$ width and 13 m height and the overburden of 3 m
- Under passing Modares Highway
- Ground settlement restricted to allowable limit
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- Modares Tunnel: a rather square section (two-story section)

Combination of different supporting approach including NATM, Soil Improvement (fore-poling, micropile and nailing) simultaneously in order to reach a safe construction
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- Modares Tunnel: a rather square section (two-story section)

Combination of different supporting approach including NATM, Soil Improvement (fore-poling, micropile and nailing) simultaneously in order to reach a safe construction
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- Modares Tunnel


Construction stages of modares tunnel


Initial lining supporting elements specifications
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- Modares Tunnel:

Five numerical models with different extra supporting system were simulated for Modares Tunnel excavation process;

| Model NO. | Extra Supporting Systems |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fore pole | Micropile | nail |
| 2 | - | - | - |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | - | - |
| 4 | - | $\checkmark$ | - |
| 5 | - | - | $\checkmark$ |

## Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 1 (NATM method, no extra supporting system)



Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy $-56.42^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

| Allowable surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 25.4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Maximum total vertical displacement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 56.42 |  |
| Maximum surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 51.35 | $\rightarrow$ More than allowable settlement |

Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 2 (NATM method with Fore poles) 60 fore-poles in two rows with length of 6.00 m



## Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 2 (NATM method with Fore poles)



Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy $-56.42^{* 10} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

| Allowable surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 25.4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Maximum total vertical displacement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 56.42 |  |
| Maximum surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 51.35 | $\rightarrow$ More than allowable settlement |

## Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 3 (NATM method with Micro-piles)

Six micropiles $\emptyset 32$ with vertical deviation $15^{\circ}$

- Four micro-piles with the length of three meters
- Two micro-piles with the length of six meters


Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 3 (NATM method with Micro-piles)



Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy - $44.05^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

| Allowable surface settlement (mm) | 25.4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maximum total vertical displacement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 44.05 |
| Maximum surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $40.41 \rightarrow$ More than allowable settlement |

## Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 4 (NATM method with Nails)

Four nails IBO R32S with the length of 6 meters

- Two horizontal nails
- Two nails with horizontal deviation of $15^{\circ}$
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Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 4 (NATM method with Nails)



Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy $-50.29^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

| Allowable surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 25.4 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Maximum total vertical displacement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 50.29 |  |
| Maximum surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 45.79 | $\rightarrow$ More than allowable settlement |ITA
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## Modares Tunnel

- Model No. 5 (NATM method with Fore-poling, Micro-piles and Nails)



Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy $-29.16^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

| Allowable surface settlement (mm) | 25.4 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Maximum Total vertical displacement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | 29.16 |
| Maximum surface settlement $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $24.90 \rightarrow$ Less than allowable settlement |

Therefore for construction of Modares tunnel (with rather square cross section), NATM method with Fore-poling, Micro-piles and Nails were used.

## Modares Tunnel

Ground settlement \& Maximum bending moment of the models

| Model NO. | cases |  |  | Surface Displacement |  | Initial Lining Maximum Bending Moment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fore pole | Micro pile | nail | Surface vertical disp. (mm) | Reduction percentage compared to NO. 1 | Maximum Bending Moment (kN.m/m) | Reduction percentage for compared to NO. 1 |
| 1 | - | - | - | -51.35 | - | 165.39 | - |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | -39.03 | 24\% | 116.25 | 29.7\% |
| 3 | - | $\checkmark$ | - | -40.41 | 21\% | 158.34 | 4.26\% |
| 4 | - | - | $\checkmark$ | -45.79 | 10.8\% | 148.56 | 10.2\% |
| 5 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | -24.90 | 48.5\% | 89.95 | 45.6\% |
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## Modares Tunnel

- Monitoring \& Numerical simulation ground settlement data comparison Difference between results might be due to better soil condition at this part of project comparing to the information obtained from geotechnical studies



## Modares Tunnel

## Internal forces induced in final lining

- Quasi-static analysis performed based on Tunneling and underground space technology report of "Hashhash". The shear stress mentioned in following table applied to MDE and ODE models.

| Seismic risk level | Return period (year) | Horizontal acceleration $(\mathrm{g})$ | Shear stress $\left(\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ODE (probability of exeedence $50 \%)$ | 144 | 0.24 | 115.2 |
| MDE(probability of exeedence $5 \%)$ | 3283 | 0.65 | 173 |


| Static loads |  |  | Dynamic loads (ODE) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Axial forces <br> Extreme axial force $-667.09 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Shear forces <br> Extreme in plane shear force $-267.01 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Bending moments <br> Extreme bending moment $583.23 \mathrm{kNm} / \mathrm{m}$ | Axial forces <br> Extreme axial force $-861.20 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Shear forces <br> Extreme in plane shear force $467.45 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$ | Bending moments <br> Extreme bending moment $-347.59 \mathrm{kNm} / \mathrm{m}$ |

## ITA TUNNELLING

AWARDS 2018

## Valiasr Tunnel:

- Twin tunnel with the length of $50 \mathrm{~m}, 18.3 \mathrm{~m}$ width and 8 m height and the overburden of 12 m ,
- Under passing Valiasr Street
- Ground settlement restricted to allowable limit
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## ITA TUNNELLING <br> AWARDS 2018

- Valiasr Tunnel: a unique rather flat cross section (Twin tunnel) under Valiasr Street.
- Existence of some underground obstacles adjacent to the tunnel

Valiasr Street
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- Valiasr Tunnel: a unique rather flat cross section under Valiasr Street. Combination of NATM, nailing and final lining of the left tube in order to reach a safe construction
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- Valiasr Tunnel:

Three numerical models were simulated for Valiasr Tunnel excavation process;

| Model NO. | Extra Supporting Systems |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Micropile | Final lining of the left tube before <br> complete excavation of right tube |
| 1 | - | - |
| 2 | - | $\checkmark$ |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |

## Valiasr Tunnel

Stages of Construction \& Support specifications
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## Valiasr Tunnel

- Model No. 1 (NATM method, no extra supporting system)


Vertical displacements (Uy)
Extreme Uy - $63.61^{*} 10^{-3} \mathrm{~m}$

Allowable surface settlement (mm) 25.4

Maximum total vertical displacement (mm) 63.6
More than allowable settlement $\rightarrow$ Maximum surface settlement (mm)51.3

## Valiasr Tunnel



- Model No. 2 (NATM method with final lining of the left tube before complete excavation of right tube)


| Allowable surface settlement (mm) | 25.4 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Maximum total vertical displacement (mm) | 43.5 |

More than allowable settlement $\rightarrow$ Maximum surface settlement (mm) 40.0

## Valiasr Tunnel

- Model No. 3 (NATM method with final lining of the left tube before complete excavation of right tube and Micro-piles)


Therefore for construction of Valiasr tunnel (with rather flat cross section), NATM method with final lining of the left tube before complete excavation of right tube and Micro-piles were used.
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## Valiasr Tunnel

- Comparing Model 3 numerical results with Monitoring

Good agreement between monitoring data and numerical results for different stages of excavation


## Conclusions

- Existence of several section transitions and bifurcation owing to the compulsion for unifying various level and non-levels traffic routs,
- Combination of different supporting approach including NATM, soil improvement (fore-poling, micro-pile, grouting, nailing) and partially final lining of the cross section before complete excavation simultaneously in order to reach a safe construction for a rather flat cross section (i.e., Valiasr tunnel) and a rather square cross section (i.e., Modares tunnel) which are far from rounded sections (rounded sections initiate confinement forces and limit internal forces in the lining, also allows the smooth flow of stress into the ground around the tunnel and causes less displacements)
- No disruption and acquisition of surface ground especially during construction of two main parts of the project i.e., Modares tunnel and Valiasr tunnel

